

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/848,118	05/02/2001	James B. Nichols	04259P016	7611	
. 7	590 07/29/2003				
Thomas C. Webster BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor			EXAMINER		
			LEE, Y YOUNG		

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2613

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/848,118 Applicant(s)

James B. Nichols

Office Action Summary

Art Unit Examiner 2613 Y. Lee

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.							
If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 18, 20	03					
2a) 🗌	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims			·			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	<u>.</u>		is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u>			is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to			
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	tion Papers						
9) X The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗆 a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir	ner.		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) 🗌 All b) 🔲 Some* c) 🔲 None of:							
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachme		4. 🗆	(DT)	2.412) Panas No/a)			
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			D-413) Paper No(s)			
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2,3,5	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					
~, ! X i		or Outer:		•			

Application/Control Number: 09/848,118 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Figure 5 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claims 1-37 are directed to the same invention generic to the six species. This is not found persuasive because the six distinct species are independent and are able to support separate patents, thus creating a serious burden on the examination process. However, in view of Applicant's assertion that all 37 claims are directed to the same invention, Examiner will consider all 37 claims with claims 1-8 as representative claims.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure. The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Art Unit: 2613

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of:
 - a. not limited to a single paragraph; and
 - b. inclusion of legal phraseology such as "comprising" in lines 2 and 5.
 - Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 5. The following title is suggested: "Apparatus and Method for Compressing Video by Calculating an Activity Metric for Macroblocks".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,118 Page 4

Art Unit: 2613

7. Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Applicant's admitted prior art in Figure 1b.

8. Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gonzales et al (5,231,484).

Gonzales et al, in Figures 6 and 11, discloses the same computer-implemented method for compressing video comprising determining a number of bits 2 allocated to each of the macroblocks in a first field after the macroblocks in the first field have been run-length and entropy encoded following a DCT of the macroblocks (MPEG); selecting relatively higher quantizer scaling values for corresponding macroblocks in a second field if the calculated activity metric is relatively high and relatively lower quantizer scaling values for corresponding macroblocks if the calculated activity metric is relatively low 15; determining whether calculating the activity metric and selecting the quantizer scaling values for the first and second fields in different frames, respectively, produces a bitrate above a predetermined maximum threshold T; adjusting the quantizer scaling values to lower the bitrate if the bitrate is above the predetermined maximum threshold 16; and selecting a particular quantizer matrix for corresponding macroblocks in the second field based on the calculated activity metric (Fig. 6).

Art Unit: 2613

Conclusion

9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

(for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Or:

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Y. Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-7584.

Y. LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Y. Lee/yl July 24, 2003